(B)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 2709 /2006

SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER : M/s Kailash Engineering Company Ltd. Rajnandgaon, A company Registered under the Companies Act, 1956, having its registered office at 3, Lata Kunj, Zaobawadi, Thakurdwar, Charni Road, Mumbai and Corporate Office at 57-58 Vardhman Nagar, G. E. Road, Rajnandgaon, through its authorized representative, Pramod Agarwal, S/o Shri T. R. Agarwal, aged about 37 years, R/o Vardhmannagar, Tahsil and District- Rajnandgaon(C.G.).

P.R. No. 2757 Presented by Shri A. S. Agarwal Adv. dated 31.05.06

-Versus-

RESPONDENTS : 1. Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. (CSIDC), a company incorporated under the Indian Companies Act, 1956, through its Managing Director, L.I.C. Building, Pandri, Raipur (C.G.).

2. Madhya Pradesh Financial Corporation (MPFC), a Corporation established under the State Financial Corporation Act, 1951 through its Managing Director, Finance House, Agra Bombay Road, Indore (M.P.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA NAYAK CG 22/05/06 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC.OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(91)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W.P. No. 2709 of 2006

Petitioner : M/s Kailash Engineering Company Ltd.

Versus

Respondents : Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. and another

---

Present: Mr. Prashant Jaiswal, Senior counsel with Mr. Ali Asgar, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Sanjay K. Agrawal, counsel for the respondent No. 1.

ORDER

(Passed on 2nd Day of March, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to press any ground except that the application dated 21-12-2005 (Annexure P/9) pending before respondent No. 1 i.e. Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. be decided in accordance with law, on its merit within the stipulated time.

2. It is ordered accordingly.

3. The respondent No. 1 is directed to decide the pending application (Supra) within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order on its merit.

(92)

4. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with the above stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash